THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

CHAIRMAN Thomas B. Getz

COMMISSIONERS Clifton C. Below Amy L. Ignatius

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND SECRETARY Debra A. Howland



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, N.H. 03301-2429

October 4, 2011

Debra A. Howland, Executive Director N.H. Public Utilities Commission 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301

Re: DG 10-041 National Grid NH Integrated Resource Plan Staff Response to Additional SENDOUT® Modeling

Dear Ms. Howland:

At the hearing held on July 14, 2011, Staff presented five recommendations; the fifth recommendation requested the Commission order National Grid NH to file an updated resource mix analysis that incorporates the methodological changes contained in Staff's testimony and identifies the least cost mix of supply- and demand-side resources. In its rebuttal testimony, ENGI stated that it is willing to accept all five recommendations including the recommendation to update its resource mix analysis, however, Staff and National Grid NH did not agree on the time for completion.

On August 23, 2011, National Grid NH informed the Commission that its vendor, Ventyx, had recently provided an updated version of the SENDOUT® model and that the company had successfully rerun the resource mix analysis and had provided revised information to Staff for its review. National Grid NH stated it sought Staff's review to "confirm that the results are consistent with its expectations." National Grid NH also stated that "[b]ecause of the timing of providing an updated resource mix analysis had been left as an open issue to be addressed by the Commission in its order, and the Staff and Company had not been able to agree on a date for submission of this information" National Grid NH wanted to "apprise the Commission that it has now provided the requested information."

At this time, Staff wishes to forward the rerun of the resource mix analysis to the Commission for inclusion in its docket filings. Staff also wishes to respond to the Company's statement that it has now provided the requested information.

Staff has reviewed the work papers attached to National Grid's August 22, 2011 letter and has concluded that the revised analysis does not meet the requirements of Staff's fifth recommendation. For this reason, Staff disputes National Grid's assertion that it has provided the requested information. Specifically, Staff does not agree that Attachment Staff 1-35 (Supp.) Revised demonstrates that the corrected Ventyx SENDOUT@ gas dispatch model is functioning

Tel. (603) 271-2431

FAX (603) 271-3878

TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

> Website: www.puc.nh.gov

3

adequately. While some of the results of the resource mix rerun are in line with expectations, others require further investigation. This conclusion has been conveyed to the Company. Second, the resource mix rerun was conducted without incorporating any of the methodological changes described in Staff's testimony and included in its fifth recommendation. These include: 1) using a resource mix model that produces rational results; 2) using a resource mix model that has the capability to dispatch any particular tier of demand-side resources multiple times if it is economic to do so; 3) allowing resource mix model to displace the Granite Ridge, LNG and propane supply resources with lower cost demand-side resources; 4) escalating gas supply demand and commodity costs over the life of the demand-side resources in a way that reflects expected increase in those cost components; 5) conducting the cost-benefit analysis over the useful life of the demand-side resources; and 6) identifying on an annual basis the net savings/costs associated with the demand-side resources, expressing them in present value terms, and summing the resulting values to arrive at the overall net saving/cost. Without including these methodological changes, it is not possible for Staff to identify the least cost mix of supplyand demand-side resources.

Rather than leave these modeling changes until National Grid's next IRP, as recommended by the Company, Staff urges the Commission to order National Grid to re-run the model promptly with the above changes included and to report the results to Staff for its review. This way, we believe there will be fewer disputes over what is expected of the Company going forward.

Sincerely,

Marcia A. B. Thunberg

Staff Attorney

Attachments Service List cc:



McLane, Graf, Raulerson & Middleton Professional Association

11 South Main Street, Suite 500 | Concord, NH 03301 Tel: 603.226.0400 | www.mclane.com

STEVEN V. CAMERINO Email: steven.camerino@mclane.com Licensed in MA and NH

OFFICES IN: MANCHESTER

CONCORD

PORTSMOUTH WOBURN, MA

August 22, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Marcia Thunberg, Esq. NH Public Utilities Commission 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301

Re: DG 10-041; National Grid NH Integrated Resource Plan

Dear Attorney Thunberg:

As you know, National Grid NH had agreed to request that the vendor of the SENDOUT® gas dispatch modeling program rewrite the source code to enable the model to properly value DSM resources when SENDOUT® is run in the resource mix mode. After working with Ventyx, the vendor of SENDOUT®, the Company has now confirmed that the model produces proper DSM values and has corrected the errors that it was previously producing in the resource mix mode.

Enclosed for Staff's information and review are the following revised pages to the Company's 2010-2015 least cost integrated resource plan ("IRP"):

- Redlined revisions to page IV-38 of the IRP, describing the optimal mix of DSM measures in the Company's resource mix analysis;
- Revised versions of the five model results pages that appeared in Appendix D, Pages 77-81 of the IRP;
- Revised version of Attachment Staff 1-35 (Supp.), provided to Staff during discovery (the document that initially provided the indication that the as-modeled Total DSM Cost was being calculated incorrectly due to a coding error within the SENDOUT model); and
- An exhibit demonstrating that the Total DSM Cost in Attachment Staff 1-35 (Supp.) REVISED is the proper calculation of the cost of the installed DSM programs.

Marcia Thunberg, Esq. August 22, 2011 Page 2

٠

1

Please let me know if Staff has any questions regarding the enclosed materials.

Sincerely,

. Steven V. Camerino

cc: Discovery Service List

3. Descriptive Results of Scenarios

Chart IV-D-5 indicates that, relative to the 2008/09 springboard year, annual implementation of the Low Case demand side management programs can result in a reduction in customer requirements of 553,629 MMBtu/year by 2014/15. Relative to the 2008/09 springboard year, annual implementation of the Base Case demand side management programs can result in a reduction in customer requirements of 824,425 MMBtu/year by 2014/15. Under the resource mix simulation of the High Case demand side management programs, the model is allowed to choose the optimum mix and timing of the six programs available under Tiers 1, 2, and 3. Simulation results indicate that the Tier 1 Residential, Tier 1 C&I, Tier 2 Residential, Tier 3 Residential, and Tier 3 C&I programs are all favorable beginning in 2010/11. The Tier 1 Residential programs are favorable beginning in 2011/12. And, then, the Tier 2 Residential, Tier 3 Residential and Tier 3 C&I programs all become favorable in 2012/13. In isolation, the Tier 2 C&I programs are not favorable. Relative to the 2008/09 springboard year, annual implementation of the High Case demand side management programs can result in a reduction in customer requirements of 812,319858,100 MMBtu/year by 2014/15.

4. Cold Snap Analysis

In addition to the design day, design year and normal year planning standards, the Company also evaluates the capability of the resource portfolio to

IV-38 (REVISED)

meet sendout requirements during a protracted period of very cold weather, which is referred to as a "cold snap."

.

IV-38 (REVISED)

Reduction in Total Resource Costs Base Case Design Year Resource Mix Scenario without DSM vs. Resource Mix Scenario with DSM

۰.

Resource Mix Scenario without DSM	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	<u>2013/14</u>	2014/15
Total Gas Resource Cost	\$116.033.464	\$123 008 270	\$127 220 200	\$120,022,420	\$104 510 641
Total DSM Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Total Resource Cost	\$116.033.464	\$123.998.279	\$127,339,390	$\frac{\psi 0}{130,922,420}$	\$134 513 641
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	4120,000,210	\$121,000,000	\$100,022,420	\$104,010,041
Total Gas Customer Requirements (MMBtu)	14,149,800	14,608,800	14,905,000	15,265,200	15,625,300
Total DSM Customer Requirements (MMBtu)	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Annual Customer Requirements (MMBtu)	14,149,800	14,608,800	14,905,000	15,265,200	15,625,300
Average System Cost (\$/MMBtu)	\$8.2004	\$8.4879	\$8.5434	\$8.5765	\$8.6087
Resource Mix Scenario with DSM	2010/11	<u>2011/12</u>	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Total Gas Resource Cost	\$113,088,103	\$119,611,995	\$121,723,921	\$123,982,209	\$126,117,887
Total DSM Cost	<u>\$470,264</u>	<u>\$940,528</u>	<u>\$1,410,793</u>	<u>\$1,881,057</u>	<u>\$2,351,321</u>
Total Resource Cost	\$113,558,367	\$120,552,523	\$123,134,714	\$125,863,266	\$128,469,208
Total Gas Customer Requirements (MMRtu)	12 906 600	11 121 100	14 204 200	14 636 900	14 767 200
Total DSM Customer Requirements (MMBtu)	343 200	14,134,400	600 600	720 400	858 100
Total Appual Customer Requirements (MMBtu)	14 140 800	14 608 800	14 004 000	15 265 200	15 625 200
	14, 143,000	14,008,800	14,504,500	13,203,200	10,020,000
Average System Cost (\$/MMBtu)	\$8.0254	\$8.2520	\$8.2614	\$8.2451	\$8.2219
DSM Reduction in Requirements (BBtu)	00.000		00.000	00.000	00.000
Program 1 - Residential - 2009	30.200	30.300	30.200	30.200	30.200
Program 1 - C&I - 2009	53.600	53.900	53.600	53.600	53.600
Program 2 - Residentiai - 2010	30.200	30.300	30.200	30.200	30.200
Program 2 - Cal - 2010	53.600	53.900	53.600	53.600	53.600
Program 2 - Residential - 2010 (Incremental)	21.300	21.400	21.300	21.300	21.300
Program 2 - C&I - 2010 (Incremental)	25.600	25.700	25.600	25.600	25.600
	30.200	60.600	90.600	120.800	151.000
Tier1 - C&I	53.600	107.800	160.800	214.400	268.000
Tier2 - Residential	21.300	42.800	63.900	85.200	106.500
Tier2 - C&I	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Tier3 - Residential	7.600	15.400	22.800	30.400	38.000
<u>lier3 - C&I</u>	<u>16.000</u>	<u>32.200</u>	<u>48.000</u>	<u>64.000</u>	<u>80.000</u>
Total	343.200	474.300	600.600	729.300	858.000
DSM Cost Savings By Program					
Program 1 - Residential - 2009	\$217 797	\$220 127	\$211 424	\$209 497	\$212 753
Program 1 - C&I - 2009	\$386,554	\$391,580	\$375 242	\$371 823	\$377 601
Program 2 - Residential - 2010	\$217 797	\$220,127	\$211 424	\$209 497	\$212 753
Program 2 - C&L-2010	\$386 554	\$391 580	\$375.242	\$371 823	\$377 601
Program 2 - Residential - 2010 (Incremental)	\$153 612	\$155.469	\$1/0 117	\$147 758	\$150.054
Program 2 - C&L - 2010 (Incremental)	\$184 623	\$186,709	\$170,220	\$177 587	\$180,347
Tier1 - Residential	\$217 707	\$440.255	\$634 272	\$837,000	\$1.063.764
Tier1 - C&I	\$386 554	\$783 150	\$1 125 727	\$1 487 203	\$1,888,005
Tier? - Residential	\$153 612	\$310 030	\$1,120,121 \$147 351	\$501 032	\$750.271
Tier2 - C&I	\$100,012 \$0	\$010,505 ¢0	\$9997,001 \$0	\$J91,032 ¢0	\$7.50,271 \$0
Tier3 - Residential	φυ \$54.810	\$111 880	φυ \$150 618	φυ \$210.885	φυ \$267 702
Tier3 - C&I	\$J4,010 \$115,200	\$111,000	\$109,010	\$210,000	\$201,102 \$EC2 E02
Total	\$2 475 097	\$3.445.756	\$4 204 676	\$5,059,154	\$6.044.433

Example of Anticipated Costs of Each DSM Tiered Program Under Resource Mix Scenario Base Case Design Year

.

	Tier 1	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 3
	Res	C&I	Res	C&I	Res	C&I
No. of Years Lifetime	15	15	15	15	15	15
No. of Months Lifetime	180	180	180	180	180	180
Cost Per Year	\$1,807,764	\$1,450,375	\$2,221,388	\$4,047,691	\$602,252	\$972,184
Monthly Levelized Cost	\$10,043	\$8,058	\$12,341	\$22,487	\$3,346	\$5,401
Annual Levelized Cost	\$120,518	\$96,692	\$148,093	\$269,846	\$40,150	\$64,812

Tiered DSM Programs Installed By Year

	<u>2010/11</u>	<u>2011/12</u>	<u>2012/13</u>	<u>2013/14</u>	<u>2014/15</u>
Tier1 - Residential	1	2	3	4	5
Tier1 - C&I	1	2	3	4	5
Tier2 - Residential	1	2	3	4	5
Tier2 - C&I	0	0	0	0	0
Tier3 - Residential	1	2	3	4	5
Tier3 - C&I	1	2	3	4	5

Expected Annualized Cost of Tiered DSM Programs Installed By Year

	<u>2010/11</u>	<u>2011/12</u>	<u>2012/13</u>	<u>2013/14</u>	<u>2014/15</u>
Tier1 - Residential	\$120,518	\$241,035	\$361,553	\$482,070	\$602,588
Tier1 - C&I	\$96,692	\$193,383	\$290,075	\$386,767	\$483,458
Tier2 - Residential	\$148,093	\$296,185	\$444,278	\$592,370	\$740,463
Tier2 - C&I	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tier3 - Residential	\$40,150	\$80,300	\$120,450	\$160,601	\$200,751
<u>Tier3 - C&I</u>	\$64,812	\$129,625	\$194,437	\$259,249	\$324,061
Total	\$470,264	\$940,528	\$1,410,793	\$1,881,057	\$2,351,321

Total Annualized Cost of Tiered DSM Programs Installed By Year From SENDOUT Model

	<u>2010/11</u>	<u>2011/12</u>	2012/13	2013/14	<u>2014/15</u>
Total	\$470,264	\$940,528	\$1,410,793	\$1,881,057	\$2,351,321
Difference	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year 2010-11: Resource Mix DSM with Marcellus (MMBtu)

REQUIR	EMENTS	<u>11/2010</u>	<u>12/2010</u>	<u>01/2011</u>	<u>02/2011</u>	<u>03/2011</u>	<u>04/2011</u>	<u>05/2011</u>	<u>06/2011</u>	<u>07/2011</u>	<u>08/2011</u>	<u>09/2011</u>	<u>10/2011</u>	Heating Season <u>(Nov-Mar)</u>	Non- Heating Season <u>(Apr-Oct)</u>	TOTAL	Peak <u>Day</u>
Firm Ser	ndout	1,465,262	2,143,052	2,413,503	2,064,259	1,806,417	1,037,575	514,792	379,876	369,017	368,398	428.043	816.415	9.892.493	3.914.116	13.806.609	137 410
Refill	Underground Storage LNG <u>Propane</u>	63,686 2,845 0	0 2,940 0	0 63,512 74,116	0 24,912 19,342	0 5,790 0	314,953 0 0	335,598 9,473 11,516	324,763 2,850 7,677	335,230 2,945 0	333,732 2,945 0	251,810 2,850 0	0 2,945 0	63,686 99,999 <u>93,458</u>	1,896,086 24,008 <u>19,193</u>	1,959,772 124,007 <u>112,651</u>	0 4,000 0
Total Re	quirements	1,531,793	2,145,992	2,551,131	2,108,513	1,812,207	1,352,528	871,379	715,166	707,192	705,075	682,703	819,360	10,149,636	5,853,403	16,003,039	141,410
RESOU	RCES																
PNGTS		7,290	9,362	10,974	8,680	8,308	5,790	4,588	3,240	3,100	3,193	3,660	5,704	44,614	29,275	73,889	354
TGP	AES-Londonderry Dawn Supply Niagara Supply Dracut Baseload Dracut Swing Gulf Supply Storage	0 120,000 93,660 0 642,358 342,133 20,663	0 124,000 93,660 768,102 46,799 358,465 456,535	0 124,000 65,562 768,102 9,918 359,476 759,381	0 112,000 78,249 693,770 43,313 324,688 629,310	0 124,000 24,976 0 1,169,761 359,476 46,652	0 56,986 40,503 0 832,929 324,909 0	0 2,706 0 504,552 335,598 0	0 0 373,786 324,763 0	0 0 362,972 335,230 0	0 0 362,260 333,732 0	0 0 421,533 251,810 0	0 45,667 20,732 0 741,367 0 0	0 604,000 356,107 2,229,974 1,912,149 1,744,238 1,912,541	0 105,359 61,235 0 3,599,399 1,906,042 0	0 709,359 417,342 2,229,974 5,511,548 3,650,280 1,912,541	0 4,000 3,122 24,777 9,918 11,596 28,115
Marcellu	s Shale	300,000	283,189	152,740	129,995	70,303	88,560	0	0	0	0	0	0	936,227	88,560	1,024,787	10,000
CityGate DOMAC	Delivery Liquid	0 2,845	0 2,940	0 63,512	0 24,912	0 5,790	0 0	0 9,473	0 2,850	0 2,945	0 2,945	0 2,850	0 2,945	0 99,999	0 24,008	0 124,007	0 4,000
LNG Fro	m Storage	2,845	2,940	70,040	24,912	2,940	2,850	2,945	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	103,677	20,330	124,007	10,528
Propane	Vapor <u>Truck</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	93,309 <u>74,116</u>	19,342 <u>19,342</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>11,516</u>	0 <u>7,677</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	112,651 <u>93,458</u>	0 <u>19,193</u>	112,651 <u>112,651</u>	35,000 <u>0</u>
Total Re	sources	1,531,794	2,145,992	2,551,130	2,108,513	1,812,206	1,352,527	871,378	715,166	707,192	705,075	682,703	819,360	10,149,635	5,853,401	16,003,036	141,410

.

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year 2011-12: Resource Mix DSM with Marcellus (MMBtu)

														1	Non-	1	1
														Heating	Heating	1	
REQUIR	EMENTS	11/2011	12/2011	01/2012	02/2012	03/2012	04/2012	05/2012	06/2012	07/2042	00/0010	00/00/0		Season	Season		Peak
				2.0.2012	<u>OCLUTE</u>	05/2012	04/2012	03/2012	00/2012	0//2012	08/2012	09/2012	10/2012	(Nov-Mar)	(Apr-Oct)	TOTAL	Day
Firm Sen	dout	1,488,110	2,170,221	2,442,335	2,174,723	1,831,595	1,057,799	531,524	393,942	383,070	382,565	442.997	835 539	10 106 984	4 027 436	14 124 420	128 660
Dofill	Lindorground Steress	05.050												10,100,004	4,021,430	14,134,420	136,669
1 Control	LNG	2 845	2 040	62,602	0	0	314,818	335,033	324,864	334,390	333,813	324,707	0	65,359	1,967,625	2,032,984	0
	Propane	2,040	2,540	87 850	20,731	5,790	0	9,473	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	99,999	24,008	124,007	4,000
		0	Ū	07,000	5,007	U	U	11,516	7,677	0	0	0	0	<u>93,457</u>	<u>19,193</u>	112,650	5,607
Total Rec	quirements	1,556,314	2,173,161	2,592,878	2,206,061	1,837,385	1,372,617	887,546	729.333	720.405	719 323	770 554	838 484	10 265 700	6 029 262	10 404 004	
												110,004	000,404	10,000,799	0,030,202	10,404,061	148,275
RESOUR	CES																
PNGTS		7,290	9,362	10,974	8,990	8,308	5,790	4,588	3.240	3 100	3 193	3 660	5 704	44.024	20.075	74.400	
TOD									-,	01100	0,100	5,000	5,704	44,924	29,275	74,199	354
IGP	AES-Londonderry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>ہ</u>	<u>م</u>
	Dawn Supply	121,106	125,143	125,143	117,069	125,143	58,255	3,392	0	0	0	0	2.367	613 604	64 014	677.618	4 027
	Niagara Supply	93,660	93,660	65,562	79,159	66,271	41,656	0	0	0	0	Ō	-,	398 312	41 656	430 068	4,037
	Dracut Baseload	0	768,102	768,102	718,548	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	ō	ñ	2 254 752	41,000	2 254 752	3,122
	Dracul Swing	862,026	55,382	11,140	69,222	1,145,061	921,845	520,599	387,852	377.025	376.427	436 487	824 523	2 142 831	3 844 758	5 097 590	24,777
	Gulf Supply	341,982	359,103	359,476	330,738	359,476	325,705	335,033	324,864	334 390	333 813	324 707	024,020	1 750 775	3,044,750	3,967,569	11,140
	Storage	23,487	472,986	767,012	669,067	51,438	0	0	0	0	000,010	024,707	0	1 083 000	1,970,312	3,729,287	11,596
	-								-	-	, i	Ū	0	1,000,000	U	1,903,990	26,115
Marcellus	Shale	101,073	283,543	161,328	147,927	72,959	16,516	0	0	0	0	0	0	766,830	16.516	783 346	10.000
CityGate	Delivery	0	0	0	0	•			-								10,000
DOMAC	Liquid	2 845	2 040	60 600	05 704	- 700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Liquio	2,040	2,540	62,693	25,731	5,790	0	9,473	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	99,999	24,008	124,007	4,000
LNG From	n Storage	2,845	2,940	69,221	25,731	2,940	2,850	2 945	2 850	2 945	2 045	2 850	2.046	102.077			
						-,	2,000	2,010	2,000	2,040	2,545	2,000	2,945	103,677	20,330	124,007	10,528
Propane	Vapor	0	0	104,378	8,274	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	112 652	0	112 652	25.000
	Iruck	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	87,850	5,607	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	11,516	7,677	Ó	Ő	ő	õ	93 457	19 193	112,052	5 607
Total Pag	0.0000	1 550 044	0 470 404				_			-	-	-	2	30,401		1,2,000	<u>5,607</u>
rotal Res	ources	1,000,314	2,173,161	2,592,879	2,206,063	1,837,386	1,372,617	887,546	729,333	720,405	719,323	770,554	838,484	10,365,803	6,038,262	16,404,065	148,276

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year 2012-13: Resource Mix DSM with Marcellus (MMBtu)

REQUIR	EMENTS	<u>11/2012</u>	<u>12/2012</u>	<u>01/2013</u>	<u>02/2013</u>	<u>03/2013</u>	<u>04/2013</u>	<u>05/2013</u>	<u>06/2013</u>	<u>07/2013</u>	<u>08/2013</u>	<u>09/2013</u>	<u>10/2013</u>	Heating Season <u>(Nov-Mar)</u>	Non- Heating Season <u>(Apr-Oct)</u>	TOTAL	Peak <u>Day</u>
Firm Ser	idout	1,511,676	2,197,766	2,471,373	2,115,103	1,857,346	1,079,007	549,705	409,649	398,878	398,471	459,496	855,868	10,153,264	4,151,074	14,304,338	139,900
Refill	Underground Storæge LNG <u>Propane</u>	67,387 2,845 0	0 2,940 0	0 63,405 93,458	0 25,019 0	0 5,790 0	313,256 0 0	335,944 9,473 11,516	324,670 2,850 7,677	335,485 2,945 0	333,812 2,945 0	323,726 2,850 0	1,759 2,945 0	67,387 99,999 <u>93,458</u>	1,968,652 24,008 <u>19,193</u>	2,036,039 124,007 <u>112,651</u>	0 4,000 5,607
Total Re	quirements	1,581,908	2,200,706	2,628,236	2,140,122	1,863,136	1,392,263	906,638	744,846	737,308	735,228	786,072	860,572	10,414,108	6,162,927	16,577,035	149,507
RESOU	RCES																
PNGTS		7,290	9,362	10,974	8,680	8,308	5,790	4,588	3,240	3,100	3,193	3,660	5,704	44,614	29,275	73,889	354
TGP	AES-Londonderry Dawn Supply Niagara Supply Diracut Baseload Dracut Swing Gulf Supply Storage	0 121,106 93,660 0 881,787 341,799 26,425	0 125,143 93,995 768,102 64,301 359,476 483,834	0 125,143 65,562 768,103 15,034 359,476 775,209	0 113,032 79,716 693,770 81,273 320,628 644,716	0 125,143 25,769 0 1,402,164 160,869 56,525	0 15,032 8,027 0 1,018,086 324,852 260	0 0 542,172 335,944 0	0 0 403,559 324,670 0	0 0 392,833 335,485 0	0 0 392,333 333,812 0	0 0 452,986 323,726 0	0 3,175 0 0 844,043 1,759 0	0 609,567 358,702 2,229,975 2,444,559 1,542,248 1,986,709	0 18,207 8,027 0 4,046,012 1,980,248 260	0 627,774 366,729 2,229,975 6,490,571 3,522,496 1,986,969	0 4,037 3,122 24,777 12,371 11,596 28,115
Marcellu	s Shale	104,151	290,612	169,288	148,268	75,629	17,365	0	0	0	0	0	0	787,948	17,365	805,313	10,000
CityGate DOMAC	Delivery Liquid	0 2,845	0 2,940	0 63,405	0 25,019	0 5,790	0 0	0 9,473	0 2,850	0 2,945	0 2,945	0 2,850	0 2, 9 45	0 99,999	0 24,008	0 124,007	0 4,000
LNG Fro	m Storage	2,845	2,940	69,933	25,019	2,940	2,850	2,945	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	103,677	20,330	124,007	10,528
Propane	Vapor <u>Truck</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	112,651 <u>93,458</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>11,516</u>	0 <u>7,677</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	112,651 <u>93,458</u>	0 <u>19,193</u>	112,651 <u>112,651</u>	35,000 <u>5,607</u>
Total Re	sources	1,581,908	2,200,705	2,628,236	2,140,121	1,863,137	1,392,262	906,638	744,846	737,308	735,228	786,072	860,571	10,414,107	6,162,925	16,577,032	149,507

.

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year 2013-14: Resource Mix DSM with Marcellus (MMBtu)

															Non-		1
														Realing	Heating	1	
REQUIR	EMENTS	<u>11/2013</u>	12/2013	01/2014	02/2014	03/2014	04/2014	05/2014	06/2014	07/2014	08/2014	09/2014	10/2014	(Nov-Mar)	(Apr-Oct)	TOTAL	Реак <u>Day</u>
Firm Sen	dout	1,533,087	2,222,816	2,497,780	2,138,340	1,880,755	1,098,261	566,184	423,854	413,162	412,848	474,429	874,309	10,272,778	4,263,047	14,535,825	141,023
Refill	Underground Storage LNG <u>Propane</u>	69,233 2,845 0	0 2,940 0	0 67,778 93,458	0 20,646 0	0 5,790 0	313,781 0 0	335,944 9,473 11,516	324,333 2,850 7,677	334,354 2,945 0	333,883 2,945 0	324,218 2,850 0	31,636 2,945 0	69,233 99,999 <u>93,458</u>	1,998,149 24,008 <u>19,193</u>	2,067,382 124,007 <u>112,651</u>	0 4,000 5,607
Total Red	quirements	1,605,165	2,225,756	2,659,016	2,158,986	1,886,545	1,412,042	923,117	758,714	750,461	749,676	801,497	908,890	10,535,468	6,304,397	16,839,865	150,630
RESOUR	CES																
PNGTS		7,290	9,362	10,974	8,680	8,308	5,790	4,588	3,240	3,100	3,193	3,660	5,704	44,614	29,275	73,889	354
TGP	AES-Londonderry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Dawn Supply	121,106	125,143	125,143	113,032	62.854	15.863	ō	ō	õ	õ	ő	3.910	547 278	19 773	567.051	4 037
	Niagara Supply	15,610	94,638	65,562	80,377	26,568	8,762	ō	õ	õ	õ	ŏ	0,0.10	282,755	8,762	291 517	3 122
	Dracut Baseload	0	768,103	768,102	693,770	0	0	0	0	Ó	Ó	Ō	ō	2.229.975	0	2,229,975	24 777
	Dracut Swing	1,222,703	72,820	23,657	98,082	1,475,490	1,034,120	558,651	417,764	407.117	406.710	467,919	861,750	2 892 752	4.154.031	7.046 783	13 494
	Gulf Supply	170,713	359,476	359,476	321,978	165,380	325,377	335,944	324,333	334,354	333,883	324,218	31,636	1.377.023	2.009.745	3,386,768	11,596
	Storage	29,101	496,978	782,656	645,762	61,920	1,143	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,016,417	1,143	2,017,560	28,115
Marcellus	s Shale	32,951	293,356	175,253	156,012	77,294	18,137	0	0	0	0	0	0	734,866	18,137	753,003	10,000
CityGate	Delivery	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥		0
DOMAC	Liquid	2,845	2,940	67,778	20,646	5,790	õ	9,473	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	99,999	24.008	124,007	4,000
													-		,		,
LNG From	m Storage	2,845	2,940	74,306	20,646	2,940	2,850	2,945	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	103,677	20,330	124,007	10,528
Propane	Vapor	0	0	112,651	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	112.651	0	112.651	35.000
	Truck	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	93,458	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	11,516	7,677	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>93,458</u>	<u>19,193</u>	112,651	5,607
Total Res	sources	1,605,164	2,225,756	2,659,016	2,158,985	1,886,544	1,412,042	923,117	758,714	750,461	749,676	801,497	908,890	10,535,465	6,304,397	16,839,862	150,630

.

e

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year 2014-15: Resource Mix DSM with Marcellus (MMBtu)

REQUIRE	EMENTS	<u>11/2014</u>	<u>12/2014</u>	<u>01/2015</u>	<u>02/2015</u>	<u>03/2015</u>	<u>04/2015</u>	<u>05/2015</u>	<u>06/2015</u>	<u>07/2015</u>	<u>08/2015</u>	<u>09/2015</u>	<u>10/2015</u>	Heating Season <u>(Nov-Mar)</u>	Non- Heating Season <u>(Apr-Oct)</u>	TOTAL	Peak Day
Firm Send	dout	1,554,944	2,248,875	2,525,467	2,162,613	1,904,867	1,117,553	582,056	437,131	426,409	426,205	488,575	892,516	10,396,766	4,370,445	14,767,211	142,237
Refill	Underground Storage LNG <u>Propane</u>	71,182 2,845 0	0 2,940 0	0 72,533 93,458	0 15,891 0	0 5,790 0	313,869 0 0	335,293 9,473 11,516	324,654 2,850 7,677	335,894 2,945 0	333,692 2,945 0	323,658 2,850 0	0 2,945 0	71,182 99,999 <u>93,458</u>	1,967,060 24,008 <u>19,193</u>	2,038,242 124,007 <u>112,651</u>	0 4,000 5,607
Total Req	uirements	1,628,971	2,251,815	2,691,458	2,178,504	1,910,657	1,431,422	938,338	772,312	765,248	762,842	815,083	895,461	10,661,405	6,380,706	17,042,111	151,844
RESOUR	CES																
PNGTS		7,290	9,362	10,974	8,680	8,308	5,790	4,588	3,240	3,100	3,193	3,660	5,704	44,614	29,275	73,889	354
TGP	AES-Londonderry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Dawn Supply	108,292	125,143	125,143	113,032	64,000	16,940	0	0	0	0	0	4.037	535,610	20.977	556.587	4.037
	Niagara Supply	16,388	95,282	65,562	81,228	27,398	9,742	0	0	0	0	0	627	285.858	10.369	296.227	3,122
	Dracut Baseload	0	768,103	768,103	693,769	. 0	0	0	0	õ	ō	ō	0	2 229 975	.0,000	2 229 975	24 778
	Dracut Swing	1,248,795	82,307	32,830	111.712	1,485,551	1.049.502	574.523	431.041	420.364	420.067	482.065	879 203	2 961 195	4 256 765	7 217 960	14 707
	Gulf Supply	175,994	359,476	359,476	323,158	170.024	325,465	335 293	324 654	335 894	333 692	323 658	0	1 388 128	1 978 656	3 366 784	11 506
	Storage	31,921	510,755	790,318	601,470	67,820	2,071	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,002,284	2,071	2,004,355	28,115
Marcellus	Shale	34,601	295,508	181,350	213,671	78,826	19,062	0	0	o	0	0	0	803,956	19,062	823,018	10,000
CitvGate	Delivery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DOMAC	Liquid	2 845	2.940	72 533	15 891	5 790	0	9 473	2 850	2 945	2 945	2 850	2 945	000 000	24 008	124 007	4 000
		2,010	2,010	12,000	10,001	0,100	Ū	0,470	2,000	2,040	2,545	2,000	2,040	55,555	24,000	124,007	4,000
LNG Fron	n Storage	2,845	2,940	79,061	15,891	2,940	2,850	2,945	2,850	2,945	2,945	2,850	2,945	103,677	20,330	124,007	10,528
Propane	Vapor	0	0	112,651	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	0	112 651	0	112 651	35,000
	Truck	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	93,458	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11,516</u>	7,677	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>93,458</u>	<u>19,193</u>	<u>112,651</u>	<u>5,607</u>
Total Res	ources	1,628,971	2,251,816	2,691,459	2,178,502	1,910,657	1,431,422	938,338	772,312	765,248	762,842	815,083	895,461	10,661,405	6,380,706	17,042,111	151,844